## **A novel ATRP initiating system Fe(dtc)3/FeCl3/PPh3 for MMA polymerization**

## **Xiao-Ping Chen and Kun-Yuan Qiu\***

*Department of Polymer Science and Engineering Chemistry Building, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China. E-mail: kyqiu@chemms.chem.pku.edu.cn*

*Received (in Cambridge, UK) 5th June 2000, Accepted 14th June 2000 Published on the Web 7th July 2000*

## **A 'living'/controlled radical polymerization of MMA was** carried out with a novel ATRP initiation system: iron(III) tri(diethyldithiocarbamate) [Fe(dtc)<sub>3</sub>]/FeCl<sub>3</sub>/PPh<sub>3</sub>, where **neither an organic halide nor a radical initiator was used.**

As we know, atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) is one of the effective controlled/'living' radical polymerization systems to synthesize well-defined polymers with low polydispersities and complex architectures;1,2 and up to now, two types of ATRP, *i.e.* (conventional) ATRP and reverse ATRP, 3-5 have been known.

In ATRP, organic halides (RX) are used as initiators, transition-metal compounds in their lower oxidation state (M*n*) are used as catalysts and electron-donating compounds are used as ligands (L). In reverse ATRP, a radical initiator and a higher oxidation state transition-metal catalyst complex M*n*+1XL*<sup>m</sup>* are used instead of an organic halide initiator RX and lower oxidation state catalyst complex M*n*L*m*. As in ATRP, a controlled/'living' radical polymerization can also be carried out in a reverse ATRP system, under which a dynamic equilibrium is established, where the dormant polymer chains are reversibly activated *via* a halogen atom transfer reaction.

More recently, we have first reported a third ATRP process, *i.e. in situ* ATRP of MMA polymerization using the tetraethylthiuram disulfide (TD)/FeCl3/PPh3 system.6 In the *in situ* ATRP process, RX and M*n*+ are both created *in situ* from the reaction between a radical initiator  $TD$  and  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>$  at a given polymerization temperature. The polymerization proceeds following a conventional ATRP and is well-controlled.

So far, from the reported literature, one of components in an ATRP initiating system must be either an organic halide<sup>1,2</sup> (in ATRP) or a radical initiator3–5 (in reverse ATRP or *in situ* ATRP). Recently, however, a paper concerning a living radical polymerization of styrene with Fe(dtc)<sub>3</sub>/AIBN system in a halogen-free living process was published.7

Here we report a novel initiating system  $Fe(dtc)<sub>3</sub>/FeCl<sub>3</sub>/PPh<sub>3</sub>$ , in which neither an organic halide nor a radical initiator as the initiator for ATRP was used. The polymerization of MMA with this initiating system proceeded *via* an ATRP process.

The bulk polymerization of MMA was carried out using the Fe(dtc)<sub>3</sub>/FeCl<sub>3</sub>/PPh<sub>3</sub> initiation system at 80 °C. With  $[MMA]_0: [Fe(dtc)_3]_0: [FeCl_3]_0: [PPh_3]_0 \approx 300:1:30:90$ , a plot of  $ln([M]_0/[M])$  *vs.* time as shown in Fig. 1, showed a straight line, indicating that the kinetics was first order in monomer and that the concentration of propagating radicals was unchanged during the polymerization. It can be seen in Fig. 2 that the  $M_{\text{n (GPC)}}$ , number-average molecular weight measured by GPC, increased linearly with conversion from 1300 to 7200. A quite narrow polydispersity index (1.10–1.16) was obtained as the monomer conversion increased from 11 to 79% in 40 min. The value of  $M_{n(GPC)}$  was almost equal to  $M_{n(th)}$ , a theoretical number-average molecular weight calculated from  $M_{n(th)}$  =  $([MMA]_0/3[Fe(dtc)_3]_0) \times MW_{MMA} \times conversion$ . The efficiencies (*f*) of initiator as computed from  $f = M_{n(th)} / M_{n(GPC)}$ were  $\approx 1.0$ .

When the ratio of feeds in a separate experiment was the same as in bulk, similar results of solution polymerization of MMA in anisole were obtained although with a lower rate of polymerization, *e.g.* the conversion was 52% at 40 min,  $M_{\text{n(GPC)}}$  was 4700 and  $M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n}$  was 1.19.

Accordingly, the above results clearly suggested that the MMA polymerization with the  $Fe(dtc)<sub>3</sub>/FeCl<sub>3</sub>/PPh<sub>3</sub>$  initiation system at 80 °C exhibited some 'living'/controlled radical polymerization characteristics.

We investigated the effects of initial initiator concentration on the polymerization of MMA under fixed conditions:  $[MMA]_0$  = 9.38 mol 1<sup>-1</sup>, [Fe(dtc)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>0</sub>:[FeCl<sub>3</sub>]<sub>0</sub>:[PPh<sub>3</sub>]<sub>0</sub> = 1:30:90, at 80 °C. When  $[Fe(dtc)<sub>3</sub>]_0$  is 3.13  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> mol l<sup>-1</sup>, the conversion was 62%,  $M_n = 55\,800$ ,  $M_w/M_n = 1.23$ , while when [Fe(dtc)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>0</sub> was increased to 6.25  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> mol 1<sup>-1</sup>, the conversion was 68.7%,  $M_n = 28100$ ,  $M_w/M_n = 1.32$  after the same polymerization time of 5 h. This indicated that PMMA with higher number-average molecular weight and narrow polydispersity can be obtained using a lower initial concentration of  $Fe(dtc)_{3}$ .

The polymers obtained are well-defined PMMA with  $\alpha$ - $Et<sub>2</sub>NCS<sub>2</sub>$  and  $\omega$ -chlorine groups according to FTIR, UV and



**Fig. 1** Time dependence of ln[M]<sub>0</sub>/[M] and conversion at 80 °C, where [M]<sub>0</sub> and [M] are the MMA concentration at times 0 and *t*, respectively. *Conditions*: in bulk,  $[MMA]_0 = 9.38 \text{ mol } 1^{-1}$ ,  $[Fe(dtc)_3]_0 = 3.13 \times 10^{-2}$ mol  $1^{-1}$ ,  $[FeCl<sub>3</sub>]<sub>0</sub> = 9.38 \times 10^{-1}$  mol  $1^{-1}$ ,  $[PPh<sub>3</sub>]<sub>0</sub> = 2.81$  mol  $1^{-1}$ ; in anisole,  $[MMA]_0 = 5.25 \text{ mol } 1^{-1}$ ,  $[Fe(dtc)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>0</sub> = 1.75 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol } 1^{-1}$ ,  $[FeCl<sub>3</sub>]<sub>0</sub> = 5.25 \times 10^{-1}$  mol l<sup>-1</sup>,  $[PPh<sub>3</sub>]<sub>0</sub> = 1.57$  mol l<sup>-1</sup>.



**Fig. 2** Dependence of the molecular weight and polydispersity of PMMA on the monomer conversion at 80 °C (conditions as in Fig. 1).

 $2 \text{ Fe(dtc)}_3$  +  $\text{FeCl}_3$   $\longrightarrow$   $3 \text{ Fe(dtc)}_2$ CI  $(1)$ 

 $3 \text{ Fe(dtc)}_{2}Cl$  +  $3 \text{ FeCl}_{3} \longrightarrow 2 \text{ [Fe(dtc)}_{3]}^{+} \text{ [FeCl}_{4]}^{-}$  +  $2 \text{ FeCl}_{2}$  (2) 2 Fe(dtc)<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>[FeCl<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> + 9 FeCl<sub>3</sub> -

$$
3 \text{ [bitt-4]}^{2+} \text{ [FeCl}_5]^{2-} + 10 \text{ FeCl}_2 \text{ (3)}
$$

$$
[\text{bitt-4}]^{2+}[\text{FeCl}_5]^{2-} \longrightarrow 2 \text{Et}_2\text{NC}(S)\text{SCI} + \text{FeCl}_3 \tag{4}
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\nS-S \\
\text{bit-4} = Et_2N=C\n\end{pmatrix}\nS-S\n\begin{pmatrix}\nS-NEt_2\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

**Scheme 1**

NMR spectra. In the FTIR spectrum of the PMMA, signals at 1272 and  $ca. 3440 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  are characteristic absorption bands of the  $Et<sub>2</sub>NCS<sub>2</sub>$  group while the UV spectrum of the PMMA powder identifies  $Et<sub>2</sub>NCS<sub>2</sub>-(ca. 276.8 nm)$  as an end group. In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, the chemical shift at  $\delta$  3.07 corresponds to the methylene protons  $Et_2NCS_2CH_2$ , whilst that at  $\delta$  3.70 is due to  $(CH_3CH_2)_2NCS_2$ –. A signal at  $\delta$  3.79 arises from protons of the methoxy group, whilst that at  $\delta$  2.50 arises from the methylene protons of the terminal MMA unit capped with an wend chlorine, similar to that reported by Sawamoto and coworkers<sup>8</sup> and by us.<sup>6</sup> The  $M_{n(NMR)}$  value (5100) is close to  $M_{n(GPC)}$  (4700), indicating that all the polymer chains have chlorine ends. The presence of an  $\omega$ -chlorine end group at the polymer chains suggests the polymerization proceeds in an ATRP process.

Some control experiments were carried out to clarify the polymerization mechanism. The polymerization of MMA with Fe(dtc)<sub>3</sub> alone did not take place after 160 minutes at 80  $\degree$ C or after 60 min at 100 °C indicating that  $Fe(dtc)$ <sub>3</sub> was not a thermal radical initiator. Addition of PPh<sub>3</sub> did not modify the observed behavior, and polymerization only occurred in the presence of all three components  $Fe(dtc)_3$ ,  $FeCl_3$  and  $PPh_3$ , *e.g.* the conversion was 11% after 5 min at 80  $^{\circ}$ C. Using Fe(dtc)<sub>3</sub> and FeCl<sub>3</sub> in the absence of PPh<sub>3</sub> at 80 °C, led to precipitation of  $FeCl<sub>2</sub>$  after *ca*. 10 min whilst no polymer was obtained even after 15.5 h.

According to the above results and the reaction of  $Fe(dtc)<sub>3</sub>$ with FeCl<sub>3</sub> reported in the literature<sup>9</sup> and our previous work,<sup>6</sup> we propose the reaction of  $Fe(dtc)_3$  with  $FeCl_3$  as shown in Scheme 1.

Reactions (1) and (2) are the same as the results reported by Victoriano *et al.*,<sup>9</sup> whilst in the step (3), bis(dialkylimonium)tetrathiolane (bitt-4) is possibly formed instead of bis(dialkylimonium)trithiolane (bitt-3)<sup>9</sup> in the presence of the monomer MMA and PPh<sub>3</sub>. Bitt-4 may discompose into (diethylthiocarbamoyl)sulfur chloride  $(Et<sub>2</sub>NCS<sub>2</sub>C<sub>1</sub>)$  as shown in step (4).

Therefore, the mechanism of polymerization proposed is as depicted in Scheme 2.

Initiation:

$$
Fe(Et_2NCS_2)_3 + 5FeCl_3 \xrightarrow{\text{heat}} 6FeCl_2 + 3Et_2NCS_2Cl
$$
 (5)  

$$
Et_2NCS_2Cl + FeCl_2(PPh_3)_2 \xrightarrow{\text{free}} FeCl_3(PPh_3)_2 + Et_2NCS_2
$$
 (6)

$$
(RCI) \qquad (R^2)
$$
\n
$$
\n\begin{array}{ccc}\n\downarrow + M & & k \downarrow + M \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\mathsf{R} \cdot \mathsf{P}_1 \cdot \mathsf{Cl} &+ & \mathsf{FeCl}_2(\mathsf{PPh}_3)_2 \end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\qquad \qquad \mathsf{FeCl}_3(\mathsf{PPh}_3)_2 + \mathsf{R} \cdot \mathsf{P}_1
$$

Propagation:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\nP_n^+ & \text{FeCl}_3(\text{PPh}_3)_2 \longrightarrow & \text{FeCl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2 & + P_n\text{-Cl}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_3 \\
\text{(+M)} & & & \downarrow \\
\text{Scheme 2}\n\end{array}
$$

At the temperature of polymerization,  $Fe(dtc)_3$  reacts with FeCl3 *via* a redox process to form an organic halide,  $(Et<sub>2</sub>NCS<sub>2</sub>Cl)$  and FeCl<sub>2</sub> [eqn. (5) in Scheme 2; simplified from the combination of the reactions in Scheme 1]. Thus, the initiator ( $Et<sub>2</sub>NCS<sub>2</sub>Cl$ ) and the transition-metal catalyst in the lower oxidation state (FeCl<sub>2</sub>) for an ATRP system are created *in situ*. The primary radical  $Et_2NCS_2$ , formed from the reaction of  $Et<sub>2</sub>NCS<sub>2</sub>Cl$  with  $FeCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ , probably initiates MMA polymerization [eqn. (6) in Scheme 2]. with subsequent reactions following a conventional ATRP.

Chain extension polymerization of PMMA with an wchlorine end group can be carried out using the ATRP catalyst system. For a bulk process at 100 °C, using PMMA with  $M_n$  = 2500,  $M_w/M_n = 1.14$ , [PMMA]<sub>0</sub> = 2.73  $\times$  10<sup>-2</sup> mol 1<sup>-1</sup> and  $[MMA]_0 = 8.32 \text{ mol } 1^{-1}$ ,  $[CuCl]_0 = 2.73 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol } 1^{-1}$ , [2,2'-bipyridine]<sub>0</sub> = 8.19  $\times$  10<sup>-2</sup> mol 1<sup>-1</sup>; a conversion of 96.4% was achieved after 12 h, with  $M_n$  of the chain-extended  $PMMA = 41900, M_w/M_n = 1.12.$ 

## **Notes and references**

- 1 M. Kato, M. Kamigaito, M. Sawamoto and T. Higashimura, *Macromolecules*, 1995, **28**, 1721.
- 2 J. S. Wang and K. Matyjaszewski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 5614.
- 3 G. Moineau, Ph. Dubois, R. Jérôme, T. Senninger and Ph. Teyssié, *Macromolecules*, 1998, **31**, 545.
- 4 J. S. Wang and K. Matyjaszewski, *Macromolecules*, 1995, **28**, 7572.
- 5 X. P. Chen and K. Y. Qiu, *Macromolecules*, 1999, **32**, 8711.
- 6 X. P. Chen and K. Y. Qiu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, **3**, 233.
- 7 M. Nishimura, M. Kamigaito and M. Sawamoto, *Polym. Prepr.*, 1999, **40**, 470.
- 8 T. Ando, M. Kamigaito and M. Sawamoto, *Macromolecules*, 1998, **31**, 6708.
- 9 L. I. Victoriano, J. A. Gnecco and H. V. Carbacho, *Polyhedron*, 1996, **15**, 1315.